

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/770,117	BERZOF SKY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Zachariah Lucas	1648

All Participants:

(1) Zachariah Lucas.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Bruce H. Becke.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

NA

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See *Continuation Sheet*

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner indicated that the claims were in condition for allowance, but that the specification contained a reference to the priority applications that did not match that presented in the Application Data Sheet. It was agreed that the Examiner would amend the specificaiton to reflect the priority statement in the Application Data Sheet. .